WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

December 9, 2008

ГО:		Internal File					
FROM	[:	James D. Smith, Environmental Scientist, Permit Su	pervisor J	12/10/08			
SUBJE	ECT:	2008 Third Quarter Water Monitoring, Anda Loadout, C/007/0033, Ongoing Task ID # 2694					
The Wildcat Loadout has no coal extraction operations. The monitoring plan is described on page 137 and in Tables IV-10 and IV-11 of the MRP. Reports for this site indicate there was no flow at any monitoring points during the third quarter of 2008.							
1.	Were data submitted for all of the MRP required sites?						
	There is no spring, well, or in-mine monitoring at this site.						
	Stream	ms	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
There was no flow at MRP surface-water monitoring points WCW-1, WCW-2, WCW-3, and WCW-4 during the third quarter of 2008.							
	UPDE	es	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
There was no flow or discharge at UPDES monitoring points WCW-A, WCW-B, WCW-C, WCW-D, WCW-E, and WCW-F during the third quarter of 2008.							
2.	Were	all required parameters reported for each site?					
	Stream	ms	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
2008.	There was no flow reported for all MRP monitoring points during the third quarter of						
	UPDE	ES .	YES 🖂	NO 🗌			
	There was no flow reported for all UPDES discharge points during the third quarter of						

Page 2 C/007/0033 WQ08-3 December 9, 2008

2008.					
3.	Were any irregularities found in the data?				
	Streams	YES [NO 🖂		
	UPDES	YES	NO 🛛		
4.	On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water data.				
In the year preceding renewal, one sample at low flow and one at high flow for baseline analyses. The next renewal submittal is due 01/05/09 and the next renewal is due 05/05/09, so five-year resampling should be done in 2008, if possible. During the last resampling period in 2003, only one sample was collected, at WCW-1 in March, and it was not analyzed for baseline parameters.					
5.	Did the Permittee make a timely submittal of all data, data, and satisfactorily explain irregular data?	including initia	nlly missing NO		
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information monitoring requirements?	tion to fulfill th	is quarter's NO ⊠		
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary.				
	None.				
8.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do	you recommen	d?		
clarifi	Because of the sparsity of flow events at this loadout, the monitoring plan should be clarified so that any flow during the year preceding permit renewal will be analyzed for baseline				

 $O: \label{lem:condition} O: \label{lem:condi$

parameters.